## APPROVED MINUTES OF MEETING

# COMMITTEE ON REVISION OF THE PENAL CODE

# DECEMBER 10–11, 2020

| 1                           | A meeting of the Committee on Revision of the Penal Code was held or            |   |  |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| 2                           | December 10-11, 2020. Consistent with Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20, the |   |  |
| 3                           | meeting was held as an online video webinar.                                    |   |  |
| 4                           | <b>Commission:</b>  |   |  |
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10 | Present:  | Michael Romano, Chairperson<br>Assembly Member Sydney Kamlager<br>Senator Nancy Skinner<br>Hon. Peter Espinoza, Ret.<br>Hon. Carlos Moreno, Ret.<br>L. Song Richardson  |  |
| 11<br>12                    | Absent:   | Hon. John Burton  |  |
| 13                          | Staff:  |   |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18  | Present:  | Brian Hebert, Executive Director<br>Barbara Gaal, Chief Deputy Director<br>Thomas Nosewicz, Legal Director<br>Rick Owen, Staff Counsel<br>Lara Hoffman, Stanford Fellow |  |
| 19                          | <b>Invited Presenter:</b>   |   |  |
| 20                          | Hon. Thelton E. Henderson   |   |  |
| 21                          | Other Persons:  |   |  |
| 22                          | Up to 90 members of the public observed the meeting as attendees. The           |   |  |
| 23                          | Committee did not prepare a list of those attendees.                            |   |  |

| CONTENTS                  |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| Approval of Actions Taken | 1 |
| New Business              | 2 |
| Ongoing Business          | 2 |
|                           |   |

#### APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN

24

25

26

Unless otherwise indicated, the Committee decisions noted in these Minutes were approved by all members present at the meeting. If a member who was

- 1 present at the meeting voted against a particular decision, abstained from voting,
- or was not present when the decision was made, that fact will be noted below.

3 NEW BUSINESS

The Honorable Thelton E. Henderson addressed the Committee. The Committee is grateful for his participation. The Committee received public comment.

7 ONGOING BUSINESS

The Committee considered Memorandum 2020-16, which provided updates on possible Committee recommendations. The Committee made the decisions reported below:

#### 1. Reduce Punishment for Common Traffic Misdemeanors

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to reduce the punishment for two common traffic misdemeanors, the Committee voted to recommend that the offenses of (a) driving without a license and (b) driving with a license suspended for failure to pay a fine or appear in court be reduced from misdemeanors to infractions. The Committee also voted to recommend significantly reducing fines and fees as well as DMV "points" for these infractions.

### 2. Require that Short Prison Sentences are Served in Jail

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to reduce the number of short-term stays in California prisons, the Committee voted to require counties to maintain custody of people whose expected length of time incarcerated is less than one year.

The Committee also voted to recommend that current state practices relating to reimbursement be followed if the jail population increases as a result of implementing this reform. It further voted to recommend revising policies and practices so that the time served by people in county jail does not exceed five years, and adding tools to manage the jail population by increasing the county parole release process and specifying a "warm handoff" upon release from jails to state parole and county probation. The Committee recommended that the suggestion to permit inmate transfers between counties be included in the "analysis" section of the annual report.

### 3. Expand Probation Eligibility for Nonviolent Crimes

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to remove mandatory sentences for all non-violent offenses, the Committee voted to permit judges to set appropriate sentences including probation for all non-violent offenses.

#### 4. Create "First Degree Petty Theft"

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to treat minor thefts less harshly than traditional robbery, the Committee voted to recommend creating a new misdemeanor offense called "first degree petty theft" with a maximum sentence length of one year in custody. The Committee further voted to recommend excluding from this new offense any theft with use of a deadly weapon or that resulted in serious bodily injury. Finally, the Committee voted to recommend that this change in the theft and robbery laws be given retroactive application.

#### 5. Focus Sentence Enhancements on the Most Dangerous Crimes

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to focus enhancements on the most serious offenders and crimes, the Committee voted to recommend adding presumptions to Penal Code Section 1385, directing judges to dismiss enhancements in the following circumstances: (1) when the current offense is nonviolent; (2) when the enhancement is based on a prior conviction that is over five years old; (3) when the current offense is connected to mental health issues; (4) when the current offense or prior conviction was committed as a juvenile; (5) when multiple enhancements are alleged or the total sentence is twenty or more years; (6) when the gun was not loaded or operable; (7) when there is a showing of a disparate racial impact; (8) when the current offense is connected to current or prior victimization; (9) when the offense is connected to childhood trauma; or (10) in other compelling circumstances. The Committee voted to further recommend a showing by "clear and convincing evidence that the dismissal of the enhancement would endanger public safety" to overcome the presumption.

#### 6. Limit Gang Enhancements to the Most Dangerous Offenses

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to modify the gang enhancement to focus on violent and organized groups and to ensure defendants charged with gang enhancements receive fair trials based on reliable evidence, the Committee voted to recommend bifurcating evidence of gang involvement from the guilt phase of jury trials. The Committee also voted to focus the definition of

- 1 "criminal street gang" to target organized, violent enterprises by removing non-
- 2 violent property crimes from the list of predicate felonies, requiring a defendant
- to know the person responsible for the predicate offenses, and prohibiting the use
- 4 of the current offense as proof of a "pattern of criminal activity." The Committee
- 5 also voted to limit expert witness testimony by requiring direct evidence of current
- 6 and active gang involvement.

## 7. Apply Repealed Sentence Enhancements to Everyone

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to apply recently repealed sentence enhancements retroactively, the Committee voted to recommend retroactively applying SB 136 (1 year enhancement) and SB 180 (3 year enhancement). The Committee also voted to recommend automatic removal of these enhancements without requiring court action for a new sentence. The Committee voted to recommend that no limits be placed on how many enhancements can be removed per person, and that renegotiation of plea bargains be prohibited.

#### 8. Equalize Credits for Good Behavior in Jail and Prison

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to equalize credits for good behavior in jail and prison, the Committee voted to recommend equalizing earned credits in jails, prisons and state hospitals. The Committee voted to recommend requirements that people in jail receive no fewer "good conduct" credits than similarly situated people in prison, that people in prison be eligible for the same maximum credits as similarly situated people in jail, and that people subject to pre-trial confinement in state hospitals earn "good conduct" credits. The Committee also voted to recommend that Proposition 57 "good conduct" credits be applied retroactively and used in setting youth offender and elderly parole dates.

## 9. Harmonize and Clarify Parole Release Standards

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to harmonize and clarify parole release standards, the Committee voted to recommend revising the parole release standard to require release unless the person presents an "imminent risk of committing a future serious or violent crime." The Committee voted to recommend adding presumptions establishing that the parole candidate does not present an imminent risk of committing a future serious or violent crime when, (1) the committing offense is nonviolent, (2) the candidate is designated as "low risk"

- by a CDCR or BPH administered risk assessment, (3) the offense has a connection
- 2 to mental illness, (4) the candidate has no violent in-prison rule violations within
- the past three years, (5) the candidate has average or above performance in
- 4 programming in the past three years, or (6) the candidate's criminal involvement
- 5 was the result of retaliation against an abuser or was a result of prior victimization,
- 6 abuse or trauma.

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

3334

35

36

- The Committee also voted to make the following recommendations:
  - A parole candidate's failure to qualify for one of the above presumptions does not automatically result in a denial of parole and the categories shall not be construed as a checklist of prerequisites.
  - A parole candidate's failure to complete a recommended program that is unavailable to them cannot be a basis for denial of parole.
  - BPH should recommend housing with appropriate programming in CDCR when parole is denied.
  - BPH should consider whether the risk posed by the parole candidate can be mitigated outside of prison by placement in a halfway house, requiring mental health or substance abuse treatment, and/or utilizing electronic monitoring. The Committee specified that reliance on these additional release requirements is not intended to become BPH's default practice.
  - Increasing the standard for judicial review of parole denials to an "abuse of discretion" standard and specifying court remedies to include remanding for a new parole hearing at the earliest possible date, granting parole, or ordering any other remedy that the court finds appropriate.

#### 10. Increase "Second Look" Sentencing

After a presentation by the Chairperson on a proposal to increase the use of "second look" sentencing, the Committee voted to recommend revising Penal Code Section 1170(d)(1) proceedures in the following ways:

• When law enforcement requests resentencing based on meritorious conduct, require notice to the incarcerated person, an initial conference within 60 days, written reasons for court decisions, and appointment of counsel. The Committee voted to recommend creating a presumption favoring resentencing in these circumstances.

- When law enforcement requests resentencing based on a change in the law, require notice to the incarcerated person, an opportunity for response, and written reasons for court decisions. In these circumstances, the Committee also voted to recommend that courts may grant or deny the resentencing request for any reason rationally related to sentencing.
- Allow anyone in custody to request resentencing after 15 years of incarceration. The Committee voted to recommend that in these circumstances, the incarcerated person must establish that "continued incarceration is no longer in the interests of justice." The Committee also voted to recommend that notice of hearing, opportunity for response, and written court decisions be required in these circumstances. Finally, the Committee specified that courts may grant or deny these types of resentencing requests for any reason rationally related to sentencing.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

#### **Approval of Minutes**

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- The Committee considered Memorandum 2020-20 presenting draft Minutes for the Committee's November meeting.
- 19 The Committee approved the Minutes without change.